

# 2006-2007 SES PRELIMINARY EVALUATION REPORT

## DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

**PROVIDER NAME:** Boys & Girls Clubs of Michigan City  
**DISTRICTS SERVED:** Michigan City Area Schools  
**# OF STUDENTS SIGNED UP:** 27 (English/Language Arts); 24 (Mathematics)

## 2006-2007 EVALUATION GRADES (see report below for details)

**CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:** B+

(How satisfied are districts, schools, and parents with the services that the provider offered)?

**SERVICE DELIVERY:** B+

(How well did the provider implement services, and to what extent did the provider implement its program with fidelity to its originally approved application)?

**ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS:** B+

(Is the provider increasing the academic achievement of the students it served)?

## CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

### PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting:	48%
Overall score:	3.5 out of 4.0

### DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting:	0%
District recommends continuation?:	not applicable

### PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting:	100%
Overall Score:	3.3 out of 4.0

**CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE:** B+

## SERVICE DELIVERY

### PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting:	48%
Overall score:	3.0 out of 4.0

### DISTRICT REPORT:

% of districts reporting:	0%
Overall score:	not applicable

### PRINCIPAL REPORT:

% of principals reporting:	100%
Overall score:	3.3 out of 4.0

**ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE:** 3.7

Go to

([http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/pdf/OnSiteMonitor0607/Boys&GirlsClub%20of%20Michigan%20City%20On-site%20monitoring%20Report%20FINAL%20\(5-16-07\).pdf](http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/pdf/OnSiteMonitor0607/Boys&GirlsClub%20of%20Michigan%20City%20On-site%20monitoring%20Report%20FINAL%20(5-16-07).pdf)) to view the Onsite Monitoring Report from 2006-2007

**SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE:** **B+**

## ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS

<b>COMPLETION RATE:</b>	67%
<b>% OF STUDENTS MEETING GOALS</b>	98%
<b>TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER:</b>	Woodcock-Johnson
<b>% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS</b>	98%
<b>% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED 80% OR MORE SESSIONS:</b> (Based on # attending 80% / # served who attended at least one session)	82.4%

In order to be included in the ISTEP+ analysis provided below, students must have completed 80% of their SES sessions, must not have been retained from 2006 to 2007, and must have ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007.

## ISTEP+ DATA (included in academic effectiveness grade):

### SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

For students served by Boys & Girls Clubs (Michigan City) in 2006-2007, 86% made scale score gains on ISTEP+ in English/Language Arts, exceeding the statewide average for all SES students. However, 71% made gains in Math, slightly trailing the statewide average for all SES students in that subject. 71% of students served by Boys & Girls Clubs (Michigan City) showed substantial (one year's) growth in Math, greatly exceeding the statewide average. 57% showed such growth in Math, again exceeding the statewide average for all SES students. The percentage of students passing ISTEP+ stayed the same at 29% from 2006 to 2007 in English/Language Arts, but grew from 71% to 86% in Math.

Category	BGC Michigan City (E/LA)	All SES Students Statewide (E/LA)*	BGC Mich. City (Math)	All SES Students Statewide (Math)*
# of students	7	1675	7	1645
% showing growth on ISTEP+ scale score	86%	71%	71%	73%
% showing substantial (one year's) growth on ISTEP+ scale score**	71%	49%	57%	49%
% passing ISTEP+ (2006)	29%	43%	71%	52%
% passing ISTEP+ (2007)	29%	42%	86%	51%

\*Includes all students participating in SES who completed 80% of their sessions, were not retained from grades 2006-2007, and have ISTEP+ scores for 2006 and 2007.

\*\*Substantial growth (one year's growth) is defined as making a large enough scale score gain to pass ISTEP+ from one year to the next.

### SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

When possible, each student who participated in SES, completed 80% of his or her sessions, and had ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007 was matched with a similar student who was eligible for but did not participate in SES. SES students were matched with other students from their school on a number of characteristics, including grade in school, race, free/reduced lunch eligibility, special education status, limited English proficiency, and 2006 ISTEP+ scale score. The charts below provide the results of the matched comparison. The matched comparison provides a context in which to place the gains or losses made by SES students. By looking at the charts below, it can be determined whether students served by this SES provider performed about the same as similar students who did not participate in SES; worse than similar students who did not participate in SES; or better than similar students who did not participate in SES. For Boys & Girls Clubs (Michigan City), 7 matches out of 7 participating students (100%) were made for Math, and 6 matches out of 7 participating students (86%) were made for English/Language Arts.

MATHEMATICS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average Growth	% passing (2007)
SES	7	100%	71%	71%	30.6	86%
Not SES			100%	57%	27.6	86%

As shown in the chart above, 71% of the SES students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to 100% of the non-SES students. However, 71% of the SES students showed substantial (one year's) growth, compared to a smaller percentage (57%) of the similar, non-participating students. The same percentage of SES and similar, non-participating students passed ISTEP+ in 2007 (86%). When examining these differences and similarities, it is important to note that the sample is small (7).

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average Growth	% passing (2007)
<b>SES</b>	6	86%	83%	67%	29.8	33%
<b>Not SES</b>			67%	33%	18.8	50%

As shown in the chart above, 83% of the SES students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to fewer (67%) of the similar, non-participating students. 67% of SES students showed one year's growth, compared to 33% of the non-SES students. However, 50% of the non-SES students passed ISTEP+ in 2007, compared to 33% of the SES students. When examining these differences, it is important to note that the sample is small (6).

Note that information provided in the ISTEP+ analysis represents descriptive statistics only (averages and percentages). Additional statistical analyses, including results disaggregated by district and grade level, will be conducted in the statewide evaluation of SES 2006-2007, to be released by the fall of 2008.

**ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS GRADE:** **B+**

**OVERALL GRADE: B+**